UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

Defendant.

DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. C-04-4520 SC

No. C-04-4520 SC

ORDER DISMISSING

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION

FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW

CAUSE

Defendant.

Plaintiff United States of America has filed a motion for an order to show cause why Defendant Adam Chung-Shiaing Wang should not be held in contempt for failing to comply this Court's October 20, 2005 Order to surrender any indicia of citizenship to the Attorney General. Defendant Wang has filed a notice of appeal from this Order and the Order of Judgment.

"The filing of a notice of appeal is an event of jurisdictional significance - it confers jurisdiction on the court of appeals and divests the district court of its control over those aspects of the case involved in the appeal." Trulis et al. v. Barton et al., 107 F.3d 685, 694-695 (9th Cir. 1997), quoting Griggs v. Provident Consumer Discount Co., 459 U.S. 56, 58 (1982).1

¹ The District Court does retain jurisdiction over timely motions brought under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59 and over collateral aspects of a case, such as a motion for sanctions under FRCP 11. See Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4); Willy v. Coastal Corp. et al., 503 U.S. 131, 137-138 (1992).

Case 3:04-cv-04520-SC Document 44 Filed 02/23/06 Page 2 of 2

The Court finds that it lacks jurisdiction over this motion because it is directly related to those aspects of the case involved in the appeal.

When a court lacks jurisdiction, the "only function remaining to the court is that of announcing the fact and dismissing the cause." Ex parte McCardle, 7 Wall. 506, 514 (1868).

Accordingly, the Court DISMISSES the motion for want of jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 22, 2006

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE